# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

David Girard, : Civil Action No.: 4:16-cv-00786

Plaintiff,

v.

Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

JURY

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, David Girard, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

# **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (the "FDCPA").
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, David Girard ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Dallas, Texas, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC ("ERC"), is a Florida business entity with an address of 8014 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32256, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

#### A. The Debt

- 6. A financial obligation (the "Debt") was allegedly incurred to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes, which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to ERC for collection, or ERC was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# B. <u>ERC Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics</u>

- 10. In December 2015, ERC began calling Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt from a female stranger.
- 11. On or about December 28, 2015, Plaintiff advised ERC that it had the wrong number and demanded that all calls to his telephone number cease.
  - 12. Nevertheless, ERC continued to call Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

# C. <u>Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages</u>

- 13. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct.
- 14. As a direct consequence of Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

# **COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.**

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 16. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with collection of the Debt.
- 17. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged Plaintiff in telephone conversations with the intent to annoy and harass Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendant used unfair and unconscionable means to collect the Debt.
- 19. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.
  - 20. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

# COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT TEX. FIN. CODE ANN. § 392, et al.

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
  - 22. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(1).
- 23. Defendant are each a "debt collector" and a "third party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6) and (7).
- 24. Defendant caused a telephone to ring repeatedly, with the intent to annoy Plaintiff, in violation of Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.302(4).

25. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1) and (2), and to remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a).

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
   § 1692k(a)(3);
- 3. Injunctive relief pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1);
- 4. Actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(2);
- 5. Remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a);
- 6. Punitive damages; and
- 7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: October 14, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Jenny DeFrancisco

Jenny DeFrancisco, Esq. CT Bar No.: 432383 LEMBERG LAW LLC 43 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

E-mail: jdefrancisco@lemberglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff